



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/786,635 | 05/22/2001 | Gerd Schmitz | BAYER 10,131-KGB | 3503 |
| 27384 | 7590 | 12/09/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| NORRIS, MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA 875 THIRD AVENUE 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | MURPHY, JOSEPH F | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1646 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/786,635 | SCHMITZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Joseph F. Murphy | Art Unit 1646 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph F. Murphy.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Lim.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney was notified that drawings submitted 10/11/2005 were not accepted by the draftsperson. A new 948 (Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review) is attached. Applicant's attorney was further advised to add the Sequence Identifiers to the Drawings.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



JOSEPH MURPHY
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required